G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909.

Legislation)

P-21

File No. 16 of 09

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month December 1909

SUBJECT.

Rules under the weights and measures Regulation

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S. 11] 1909—10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Legislation

NOTES.

File No. 16—09.

FILE HEADING.

Rules under the Weights and Measures Regulation.

From

The Dist Magistrate Kaduna District

No. 200 Dated 1.12.09 Received 3.12.09

Diary No. 1407 | Enclos. 1 | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

While forwarding a Copy of Judgment in Crim Case No 20 of 1909 on the file of the Amildar and 2nd class Magistrate, Chikinagalam brings to the notice of the Govt the wide prevalence of the use of false seers,

NOTES AND ORDERS.

and the necessity for issuing Rules under the Regulation

Submitted for perusal and orders. It may here be stated that the Rules in question are before the Council.

MJ 4.12.09. SN

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000 —3-4-07.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Leps File No. 16 of 1909-10.

2

Serial No.

2327

1 Submitted for Jud. for perusal.

2 The matter is already before the Council. No action on this file appears to be necessary.

4/12/09

4 File

4/12/09

7/12

7/12/09

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

190

From The Deputy Commissioner &
District Magistrate,
Kadur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 29th
Despatched 1/12 November 1909.
Received
General No. 200
No.

Enclosure No.

Bringing to the notice of Government the wide prevalence of the use of false seers in view to remedy the same by issuing necessary rules under the Mysore Weights and Measures Regulation.

Legs 16-09
Sl: 1

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kadur District,
Dated, Chikmagalur, the 29th November 1909

From

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar,Esquire,M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner &
District Magistrate,
Kadur District.

To

K.S.Chandrasekhara Iyer,Esquire,B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Governmentof Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In forwarding the accompanying copy of judgment in criminal case No. 20 of 1909-10 on the file of the Amildar and 2nd Class Magistrate of Chikmagalur, acquitting the accused, one Channay Gowda, under Section 258 of Cr.P.C., of the offence of fraudulent use of false measure, punishable under Section 265 I.P.C., chiefly on the ground that some other measures, bearing on them a stamp purporting to be Government stamp and owned by persons other than the accused, were, on comparison with the standard Government measure, found to be less in capacity than the latter and almost agreed with the measure used by the accused, I have the honor to state that the case is similar to that noticed in Government Proceedings No. J.1026-35-Leg.4-09 dated 1st October 1909. The judgment of the Amildar Magistrate of Chikmagalur shows the wide prevalence of the use of false seers and the inadequacy of the provisions of the Penal Code to deal with it; and I therefore request that you will be so good as to bring this matter to the notice of Government in view of their taking early steps to remedy the same by issuing necessary rules under the Mysore Weights and Measures Regulation clearly defining the capacity and the dimensions, &c. of the different kinds of measures to

be used by the merchant class and others.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner &

District Magistrate,

Kadur District.

In the Court of the Amildar and 2nd.
class Magistrat Chikmagalur Taluk
Dated, Chikmagalur the 16th. November
Present: Mr S. Chakrapani Iyengar
B.A. B.L.
2nd Class Magistrate

Criminal Reg. Case No. 20 of 1909-10.

Complainant- Accused-
Peer Pacha Sahib Cheme' Gowda
represented by Pleader
Mr. N.P. Ramaswamy,

Judgment-

In this case, Peer Pacha - Town Jamadar of Chikmagalur presented a complaint to this Court on the 31st of July 09 to the effect that the accused Cheme' Gowda was found selling rice in the Chikmagalur Shandy on the 7th idem with a measure which was smaller than the Government

4

Standard Seer which was kept in the

Police Station

The Complainant deposed that

when measuring 5 Seers of Rafi and

other grains it was observed that the

Seer which the accused was found to

use, was 1/2 Pavu or 1/8th Seer less in the

aggregate than the Standard Seer. That

his attention to this matter was first

drawn by Thavarappa Seetharamaiya

and others. That he made a Mahazar

to what was observed viz. that the Seer

of the aforesaid Merchant was

accordingly found to be a little small

or a few grains short. The Officer took

possession of the Seer in question and

had a Memorandum of the Seer

of the accused pasted on it, which

was

was subsequently produced to the Court

The other witnesses for the prosecution spoke practically to the same effect as the Jamadar, except that the Shanbhog stated that the Seer of the accused was found short by more than 1/2 Pavu; and Venkatramudulla Sab stated that it was 1/2 Pavu and 1 Chatak. less though in the Mahazar that was drawn up and signed by the Shanbhog and other witnesses, it is stated that the deficiency was only 1/2 Pavu for 5 Seers measured or 2 1/2 Seers for 100 Seers. It may be stated here that the accused has signed the Mahazar under a Note "It tallies with the Seers possessed by the neighbourers" His remark is explained by the Jamadar and the

Rambha by saying that the accused was allowed to write his own remarks as he pleased as the Mahazar itself accurately noted what was observed at the time. It may also be noted here that the fact of Comparison of the seers possessed by neighbors with the Government seer and the discovery of any deficiency or otherwise has not found a place in the Mahazar made by the Tahsildar. However when the accused's seer (Exh. 3) produced by the Court was actually compared with the standard Government seer kept at the Police Station it was found that twelve 5 seers of rice measured the seer of the

accused was 1/2 Parai (6 1/8 Lees) and 1/2 Chatak less: and when a like quantity of Ragi was measured, it was found that 1/2 Parai and 1 Chatak was short

Despite the discrepancy noted in the statements of the witnesses as to the exact quantity of deficiency observed when the accused's Lee was compared with the standard Government Lee, the accused was charged by the Court, in view of the deficiency actually observed in Court when measuring under Sect. no. 965 I.P.C. for having fraudulently used a smaller measure in selling rice in the Chikmagalur Shandy on the 7th July.

The accused pleaded not guilty to the charge saying that

8

knife, now Leer produced before the Court was not the one he had chosen out 2 months ago and that the Policeman took away the Leer from him when he wanted to have made the Chisel Leer by him. The accused desired to examine 3 witnesses in his behalf and added that he purchased his Leer from one Kristina Lelle Son of Abraham Lelle and had it compared with Kristina Lelle's, which are both brothers. When taken it and put by government seal, came and produced the Leers of both his brothers with the Court for discretion.

Of the 3 witnesses cited, only on Kristina Lelle Son of Thomas Lelle of Belun was actually examined. The others being dispensed with. Kristina Lelle

deposed

deliver'd that the Seer possessed by the
accused was found to measure ½ par
less for every 100 Yards of Government
Standard measure that the one
produced before the Court as the accused
Seer then used appears to be exactly
identical with it except that it
now looks to be somewhat beaten
inside from the bottom and that when
he actually Compared the accused's
Seer with the Seers of the neighbouring
merchants it was found to tally
and that therefore the accused made
a note to that effect in the Mahazar
The witness also adds that he is
aware that the accused took the
his Seer from Gundaselly's Shop
having Compared it with Krishna
Selly's

both of which produced by the accused were identified by the witness as Gunda Chetty, and Krishna Letter. Thereupon the statement of Krishna Chetty was admitted and recorded as a Court witness. With reference to his and his brother Gunda Reddy's letters, one written in Telugu and the other in English was also received in evidence for the prosecution. A few letters obtained from the local merchants which are in current use with their bear marks of Government were in there

The Court-witness Krishna while testifying to the Comparison made by him some time between the letter and the one brought by the accused, state that it was also a letter made

5

Madeof iron thowth he cannot say whether the one now shown (art. 3) is the identical one then brought. He adds that when compared with this (art. No. 1) in the presence of the Court with Kelly was ordered to compare art 3rd (accused) with this own (art 1) and also with the his brothers Gulda Kelly's. Mens. The Government standard feet produced by the Jamadars was also compared with art. No. 1 & of Khistna Kelly's now in the result of the comparison is given below:-

(1) Art. No. 1 with art. No. 3 Mr. Lowis was a little over 1/16 Leeway Cloth less for every 3 feet of map measured.

(2) Art. No. 2 - with art. No. 3 - The latter was

was less than 1/3.2nd Leer less for the like qualities of Rape measured

(3) Standard Government Leer with

Metallic Was less by

1/2 Para and a Trifle of Rape

by a trifle over 1/2 Para or 1/8 Leer

[illegible] of Rape [illegible]

[illegible] Magnum Bale [illegible]

[illegible] 1/4 2nd [illegible]

[illegible] English at the neck [illegible]

[illegible] Standard was [illegible]

1/8175 Each Leer [illegible]

It is Seen that where Art No 1 was

Compared with Standard Government

Leer in Court it was found that a

triple was 1/2 Para was short for every

5 Leers and as a matter of fact, the

Evidence

For prosecution only established that
the iron Lee (art. 3), said to have be
the accused and used by him when
Lelling ree was also clear practical
by the same quantity
The result of the Examination
and Comparison of the Lees obtained
from the local merchants Kalakaigan
Pullasaiah Chetti and Mohamed Usman
which also contain the same marks
as above shows that they are Pavu
and in one case a triple one, - Short
of the Standard Government Lee
with which
It is possible that, though
bearing the above marks engraved
on them. Some of them are Counter-

in the face of the deficiency more or less the same noticed in all such Laws in current use when compared with the standard Government Laws kept in the Police Station, I think it unsafe to record a finding that the accused is guilty of fraudulent conduct in this case although I do not believe the accused's statement that the seal was produced by him in a case as this accused's, is not this, which has not been substantiated by him. In the result I acquit the accused under Section 248 Cr.P.C.

The Law in question (Act. 3) be restored by the accused himself shall be destroyed. The two articles shall be returned to the parties from whom they were received. Pronounced in open Court.

"True Copy"

Ch. S. Chakrapani Jr.
2nd. class Magr.

Chakrapani Jr.
2nd. class Magr.
Mulki

The witnesses examined in the case
are as follows:—

(For the prosecution:—

(1) Peer Pacha Sahib - Chikmagalur
Town jamadar

(2) Lethcaramaiah — Shanbhag

(3) Ramappa

(4) Abdulla Pasha

(5) Narasimhachar Police Constable

Krishnaiah Chetti of Bé

(3) For the defence —

Krishna Shetti.

The following articles were produced
before the Court:—

Art-No. 1. Brass Lee

2. do —

3 Iron Lee (accused's Lee)

4 Brass Lee

5

The accused stated as follows: —

I heard the charge read out

16.

I have not Committed the offence as stated therein. Nie iron Leer before the Court is not mine. I had made marks on my Leer. Upon the heel of the Leer I had Chiselled out 2 marks Mr Ramadan took possession of the Leer I was wearing. I informed the people present at the Police Station that marks upon my Leer did not at all Leer the Leer I was wearing. I signed the Chit. I said I would paste the Chit upon the Leer and the Police men present they would do it themselves took it away from me. I Called the persons present there as my witness. Jegu Venkataraja ayya - Aurobindo Mess Krishna Lella - Son of Bolu Nuna Chetti. May be examined on my behalf. Of them

them Krishna Shetty ~~was~~ alone was close by (Vendur-Union Station) the other two had purchased rice from me, but had not paid for it

Again I purchased my Seer from Gunda Shetty Son of Abbayya Shetty and had it Compared with Krishna Shetty's - Krishna Shetty and Gunda Shetty are both brothers. I now produce both their Seers for the inspection of the Court. As it bore Government Seal I had my Seer Compared with it. Krishna Shetty and Gunda Shetty are living Separately in the Same house being divided

D. L. S. C

Dear Mr. Smith,

My name is Jessica Thompson and I am writing to express my interest in the Marketing Assistant position at your company. I have been following your brand for some time and admire the innovative approach you have taken to engage with your customers online.

I am a recent graduate of the University of Westbrook with a degree in Communications and a minor in Business Administration. During my time at university, I was actively involved in the student newspaper, where I wrote articles and managed the publication's social media accounts. This experience has given me a strong foundation in content creation and audience engagement.